Informal Economic Digital Twin: Modeling High-Entropy Economies

Owethu Mlambo[1]

[1]Second Year BSC IT Data Science, Eduvos

March 19, 2026

Abstract

Informal economies, while often perceived as unstructured, high entropy, and chaotic, can be systematically modeled by optimizing the flow of information under uncertainty. This paper proposes a framework for an "Informal Economic Digital Twin" that utilizes distributed human data collection systems and Agent-Based Modeling (ABM) to decode the high-entropy nature of township economies. By bridging the resolution gap through a multi-resolution data stack, we demonstrate that the inherent disorder of informal sectors is a problem of measurement rather than a lack of structure. Our findings suggest that modeling these systems allows for more efficient capital direction, risk reduction, and the development of targeted financial products, ultimately contributing to the sustainable growth of township spaces, which is essential for an economically inclusive South Africa.

1 Introduction

The informal economy in South Africa is a massive economic engine, generating billions of rands annually and employing approximately 88% of the population. Despite its scale, it remains underinvested and poorly understood due to a lack of reliable data. This lack of visibility leads to suboptimal policy-making, planning, and investment, creating a cycle of poverty.

If we are serious about improving the socio-economic landscape of South Africa, we must focus on the townships. The most efficient way to improve these areas is to create a digital model of them—a digital twin. A digital model acts as a mirror to the physical world, allowing us to test theories, validate ideas, and run simulations efficiently. By modeling informal trade, human behavior under constraints, and micro-economies, we can predict growth, direct capital intelligently, and build new financial products.

2 Theoretical Framework: Entropy and Information

2.1 High-Entropy vs. Low-Entropy Economies

Formal economies are characterized as "Low-Entropy" systems because transactions are tracked through tax records, bank logs, and formal addresses. In contrast, the informal economy is "High-Entropy," with data scattered across thousands of unmapped interactions.

Following Claude Shannon's information theory, information serves to reduce uncertainty. Our core argument is that we should not attempt to immediately force the informal economy into a low-entropy formal state. Instead, we should use artificial intelligence to capture and model the existing entropy. Messy human systems can be understood through structured information flow, as we do not need complete information to understand a system—only sufficient information. Unorthodox systems need unorthodox formalization methods.

2.2 The Resolution Gap

The perceived disorder in township economies is primarily a resolution problem. When viewed through low-resolution data, such as decadal censuses, these systems appear as noise. However, by employing a "Multi-Resolution Stack"—combining OpenBuildings, VIIRS (Visible Infrared Imaging Radiometer Suite), and ground truth data from NGOs—patterns begin to emerge. The value of a data point is proportional to how much it reduces uncertainty.

3 Methodology

3.1 Agent-Based Modeling (ABM)

Agent-Based Modeling serves as the tool that "renders" the observed noise into a signal. While individual agents in a high-entropy environment may act in unpredictable ways, the aggregate group behavior (the "swarm") often exhibits remarkable stability and predictability. The success of our model is defined by its ability to predict the "re-ordering" of entropy—for example, predicting how economic activity shifts if a government moves a taxi rank. In the implemented system, the ABM is not only a conceptual tool but an operational one. Household agents are initialised from census- and survey-derived distributions (dwelling type, services, employment, school attendance) and assigned personas (standard, entrepreneur, NEET youth) and income quintiles calibrated to external sources—including the Standard Bank Township Informal Economy Report (e.g. share of unregistered businesses, business failure rates, "Ubuntu" solidarity effects) and income distributions from household surveys. Transition probabilities (e.g. informal→formal dwelling, unemployment→employment) are calibrated against 2011→2022 census deltas where possible. The "re-ordering of entropy" is then made explicit through intervention levers: the user specifies an intervention (e.g. +10 percentage points in electricity access), and the model produces a distribution of outcomes (e.g. employment, formal dwelling share) over a 10-year horizon via Monte Carlo runs. Thus, the high-entropy state is not assumed away; it is encoded as agent-level heterogeneity and stochastic transitions, and the aggregate re-ordering—how the distribution of outcomes shifts under a policy—is the model's output. A social-network layer (household–employer–spaza–school links) further captures relational structure that single-agent statistics miss.

3.2 Multi-Resolution Data Stack (Implementation)

The digital twin is instantiated in a multi-resolution data stack that deliberately addresses the resolution gap. Low-resolution signals include Stats SA Census 2011 and 2022 at municipal level (213 municipalities), providing decadal trajectories for formal dwelling share, piped water, flush sanitation, electricity, and school attendance. Medium-resolution labour signals come from the Quarterly Labour Force Survey (QLFS) microdata (e.g. 2025 Q4), aggregated to metro level, yielding youth unemployment, NEET rates, and informal employment share. High-resolution reduction of entropy is achieved in Gauteng through the GCRO Quality of Life Survey (QoL) 2023–24: ward-level indicators for the same dimensions (housing, services, employment, grants, income band) derived from ∼14,000 weighted respondents. This creates a gradient of information density—national census and labour data constrain the system at coarse scale, while ward-level QoL data allow the model to "resolve" heterogeneity within the province. Supplementary layers include the DBE School Master List 2023 (geolocated schools, quintile, learner counts) filtered to Gauteng; building-footprint estimates (OpenBuildings or heuristics); night-light and business-density proxies; and an optional NGO/user contribution layer for ground-truth points. The stack is designed so that each layer reduces uncertainty in a specific dimension (e.g. schools for education access, QoL for household conditions) without requiring full coverage—consistent with the objective of maximising $I(D)/C(D)$.

This supports the claim that "the perceived disorder is a resolution problem" by naming which resolutions exist in the system and where they come from.

3.3 Data Collection Method

Our approach relies on distributed human intelligence, which can outperform centralized data collection in complex environments. We utilize distributed youth data collectors, inspired by real-world approaches like Timbuktu AI.

- **Data Collected:** Locations, prices, and images of economic activity.
- **Mechanism:** Mobile applications and structured forms.

4 Conceptual Framework

We define the relationship between the physical and digital worlds through the following parameters:

- **R:** The real-world system.
- **D:** Collected data.
- **M:** The model.

The primary goal is to ensure $\mathbf{M} \approx \mathbf{R}$ using minimal $\mathbf{D}$. Our core objective function is to maximize the efficiency of information acquisition:

$$\text{Maximize } \frac{I(D)}{C(D)} \tag{1}$$

Where $I(D)$ represents the reduction in uncertainty and $C(D)$ represents the cost of data collection.

5 Case Study: Gauteng

Gauteng was selected as the primary case study due to its economic weight (∼35% of national GDP), high density of informal trade, and the availability of ward-level survey data (GCRO QoL 2023–24) that does not exist at equivalent resolution elsewhere in South Africa. The digital twin is scoped to Gauteng-first so that depth of resolution is prioritised over national breadth; this avoids the "thin data" problem where many dots on a map yield few testable insights.

The implemented stack provides: (1) Ward-level indicators for hundreds of wards (e.g. % formal dwelling, % with electricity, % employed, % receiving social grants), derived from the QoL survey and aggregated with appropriate weights; (2) School locations and quintiles across Gauteng, enabling education-access and NEET-related analysis; (3) Labour indicators at metro level (Johannesburg, Ekurhuleni, Tshwane, non-metro Gauteng) from QLFS; (4) Curated township geometries (e.g. Soweto, Alexandra, Tembisa) with census and intelligence overlays. The ABM is seeded from these same sources, so that "R" (the real system) is approximated by "D" (the multi-resolution data) and "M" (the agent-based model) is explicitly tied to D. Preliminary findings from the platform suggest that binding constraints (e.g. electricity vs. water vs. school attendance) vary by locality; that opportunity deserts—wards with high youth potential but low infrastructure—can be identified from the same data; and that intervention simulations show differentiated impacts by persona (e.g. entrepreneur vs. NEET youth). Thus, the case study is not only a proof of concept but a working instance of the framework.

6 Limitations

Building a digital twin of an informal economy faces several challenges that build the credibility of the system design:

- **Data Reliability:** Ensuring the accuracy of ground-truth data.
- **Safety Concerns:** Risks faced by data collectors in certain areas.
- **Sampling Bias:** Potential over-representation of certain sectors.
- **Incomplete Coverage:** Gaps in the data stack.

These constraints are viewed as design parameters that inform the system's evolution rather than invalidating the approach. Implementation-specific limitations further bound the current digital twin. Ward-level data are available only for Gauteng (GCRO QoL); other provinces lack equivalent high-resolution survey data, so expansion would either reduce to municipal-level resolution or require new data collection. Ward geography is not yet represented as polygons in the system; wards appear as statistical units (e.g. in tables and in school-by-ward aggregates), not as drawn boundaries, which limits spatial visualisation of ward-level indicators on the map. Causal claims are not made: the ABM is calibrated to correlations and deltas (e.g. census 2011→2022), and intervention runs indicate associational effects (e.g. "if electricity improves, employment tends to improve in the model"), not proven causation. OSM and Places data remain incomplete in townships, so economic and business layers are patchy; the multi-resolution stack compensates by leaning on survey and administrative data where coverage is better. These constraints are treated as design parameters: they clarify where the twin is strong (Gauteng, ward-level household conditions, labour and education aggregates) and where future work—or additional data partnerships—is required.

7 Future Work

Future iterations of this work will focus on:

- Expanding the data collection and modeling beyond Gauteng.
- Integrating advanced AI models for deeper predictive analytics.
- Building full-scale digital twin systems for real-time economic monitoring.
- **Prioritizing Ground Truth Calibration:** The scalability of the IEDT framework is fundamentally contingent on the fidelity of data ingestion. To transition from associational effects to high-stakes predictive reporting, future iterations must prioritize "Ground Truth" calibration—ensuring that agent behavioral logic is anchored in high-resolution, real-time data that mirrors the unique socio-economic nuances of township reality.

8 Discussion

The Informal Economic Digital Twin, as implemented, demonstrates that "unmeasured" can be progressively replaced by "measured" without first forcing informality into a fully formal, low-entropy state. By combining decadal census, quarterly labour surveys, ward-level quality-of-life data, and calibrated agent-based behaviour (including entrepreneur and NEET dynamics informed by published surveys), the system converts scattered information into a structured model that can be queried and simulated.

The value of a data point is indeed proportional to how much it reduces uncertainty: ward-level QoL data in Gauteng reduce uncertainty at sub-municipal scale; labour and school data constrain employment and education dimensions; and NGO or user contributions add local ground truth where available. The result is not a complete mirror of reality but a sufficient one for prioritisation and scenario exploration—consistent with the claim that we do not need complete information to understand the system, only sufficient information to improve capital direction, risk assessment, and the design of targeted financial and policy interventions.

Furthermore, it must be emphasized that an ABM is only as good as its transition probabilities. If the agents aren't fed data that mirrors the actual constraints of a Gauteng ward—like specific taxi peak times or spaza supply chain bottlenecks—the "re-ordering of entropy" will be a mathematical guess rather than a prediction. This highlights the critical scaling logic: you cannot scale a "guess." You can only scale a validated process. Proving the model in Gauteng first allows for the creation of a "Gold Standard" for data ingestion that can then be applied to other regions such as KZN or the Western Cape.

9 Conclusion

Informal economies are not unstructured; they are merely unmeasured. This work demonstrates that even highly informal systems can be systematically understood through efficient information acquisition and probabilistic modeling. Structured data changes the paradigm of township development, turning high-entropy noise into actionable economic signals.

10 Implementation Summary

Concept (Paper)	**Implementation (TownshipIQ)**
Multi-resolution stack	Census (muni) + QLFS (metro) + GCRO QoL (ward, Gauteng) + DBE schools + buildings/night lights/Places + NGO layer
ABM "re-ordering"	Mesa + client ABM; personas & income quintiles; intervention levers; Monte Carlo outcome distributions
R, D, M	R $\sim$ township/ward reality; D = above stack; M = ABM + ODS, binding constraint, twin municipality logic
Case study	Gauteng-first map; ward indicators; school layer; township overlays; labour by metro

Table 1: Implementation Summary